



GENERALIZED B-STRONGLY B*-SEPARATION AXIOMS IN TOPOLOGICAL SPACES

P.Selvan* And M.J.Jeyanthi

*Department of Mathematics, Aditanar college of Arts and Science, Tiruchendur, Tamilnadu, India.

DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.1039585

Keywords: $gbsb^*-T_k(k=0,1,2,1/2)$, $gbsb^*-D_k(k=0,1,2)$

Abstract

The purpose of this paper is to introduce a new class of spaces via $gbsb^*$ -open sets and $gbsb^*$ -difference sets. Further we give some basic properties and their various characterizations

Mathematics Subject classification 2010: 54A05.

Introduction

D.Andrijevic[1] introduced the concept of b-open sets and characterized its topological properties. Caldas and Jafari [2], introduced and studied $b-T_0$, $b-T_1$, $b-T_2$, $b-D_0$, $b-D_1$ and $b-D_2$ via b-open sets after that Keskin and Noiri [5], introduced the notion of $b-T_{1/2}$. A.Poongothai and P.Parimelazhagan,[6] introduced sb^* -closed sets and we extend this concept into $gbsb^*$ -open sets[7].

In this chapter, we introduce a new classes of spaces called $gbsb^*-T_k$ spaces, for $k=0, 1, 2, 1/2$, $gbsb^*-D_k$ spaces, for $k=0,1,2$ and $gbsb^*$ -spaces. Also we study some basic properties and their various characterizations.

Preliminaries

Throughout this paper (X, τ) represents a topological space on which no separation axiom is assumed unless otherwise mentioned. (X, τ) will be replaced by X if there is no changes of confusion. For a subset A of a topological space X , $cl(A)$ and $int(A)$ denote the closure of A and the interior of A respectively. We recall the following definitions and results.

Definition 2.1.[1] Let (X, τ) be a topological space. A subset A of the space X is said to be b-open if $A \subseteq int(cl(A)) \cup cl(int(A))$ and b-closed if $int(cl(A)) \cap cl(int(A)) \subseteq A$.

Definition 2.2. Let (X, τ) be a topological space and $A \subseteq X$. The b-closure of A , denoted by $bcl(A)$ and is defined by the intersection of all b-closed sets containing A .

Definition 2.3.[6] Let (X, τ) be a topological space. A subset A of X is said to be strongly b^* -closed (briefly sb^* -closed) if $cl(int(A)) \subseteq U$ whenever $A \subseteq U$ and U is b-open in (X, τ) .

Definition 2.4.[7] A subset A of a topological space (X, τ) is called a generalized b-strongly b^* -closed set (briefly, $gbsb^*$ -closed) if $bcl(A) \subseteq U$ whenever $A \subseteq U$ and U is sb^* -open in (X, τ) . The collection of all $gbsb^*$ -closed sets of X is denoted by $gbsb^*-C(X, \tau)$.

Definition 2.5.[7] The complement of the $gbsb^*$ -closed set is a $gbsb^*$ -open set. The collection of all $gbsb^*$ -open sets of X is denoted by $gbsb^*-O(X, \tau)$.

Definition 2.6.[8] Let A be a subset of a topological space (X, τ) . Then the union of all $gbsb^*$ -open sets contained in A is called the $gbsb^*$ -interior of A and it is denoted by $gbsb^*int(A)$. That is $gbsb^*int(A) = \cup \{V : V \subseteq A \text{ and } V \in gbsb^*-O(X)\}$.



INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF RESEARCH SCIENCE & MANAGEMENT

Definition 2.7.[8] Let A be a subset of a topological space (X, τ) . Then the intersection of all $gbsb^*$ -closed sets in X containing A is called the $gbsb^*$ -closure of A and it is denoted by $gbsb^*cl(A)$. That is $gbsb^*cl(A) = \bigcap \{F: A \subseteq F \text{ and } F \in gbsb^*-C(X, \tau)\}$.

Theorem 2.8.[8] Let A be a subset of a topological space (X, τ) . Then

- (i) A is $gbsb^*$ -open if and only if $gbsb^*int(A) = A$.
- (ii) A is $gbsb^*$ -closed if and only if $gbsb^*cl(A) = A$.

Theorem 2.9.[7] For every element x in a space X , $X \setminus \{x\}$ is either $gbsb^*$ -closed or sb^* -open.

Theorem 2.10.[6] Every closed set is sb^* -closed.

Definition 2.11.[7] Let X be a topological space and let $x \in X$. A subset N of X is said to be a $gbsb^*$ -neighbourhood (shortly, $gbsb^*$ -nbhd) of x if there exists a $gbsb^*$ -open set U such that $x \in U \subseteq N$.

Generalized b -strongly b^* - T_k spaces

Definition 3.1. A topological space (X, τ) is said to be

- (i) $gbsb^*-T_0$ if for each pair of distinct points x, y in X , there exists a $gbsb^*$ -open set U in X such that either $x \in U$ and $y \notin U$ or $x \notin U$ and $y \in U$.
- (ii) $gbsb^*-T_1$ if for each pair of distinct points x, y in X , there exist two $gbsb^*$ -open sets U in X and V such that $x \in U$ but $y \notin U$ and $x \notin V$ and $y \in V$.
- (iii) $gbsb^*-T_2$ if for each pair of distinct points x, y in X , there exist two disjoint $gbsb^*$ -open sets U and V in X such that $x \in U$ but $y \notin U$ and $x \notin V$ and $y \in V$.
- (iv) $gbsb^*-T_{1/2}$ if every $gbsb^*$ -closed set is sb^* -closed.
- (v) $gbsb^*$ -space if every $gbsb^*$ -open set is open.

Theorem 3.2. A topological space (X, τ) is $gbsb^*-T_0$ if and only if for each pair of distinct points x, y in X , $gbsb^*cl(\{x\}) \neq gbsb^*cl(\{y\})$.

Proof: Necessity: Suppose X is $gbsb^*-T_0$ and x, y are any two distinct points of X . Then there exists a $gbsb^*$ -open set U containing x or y , say x but not y . Since U is $gbsb^*$ -open, $X \setminus U$ is a $gbsb^*$ -closed set which does not contain x but contains y . Since $gbsb^*cl(\{y\})$ is the smallest $gbsb^*$ -closed set containing y , $gbsb^*cl(\{y\}) \subseteq X \setminus U$. Then $x \notin gbsb^*cl(\{y\})$. Hence $gbsb^*cl(\{x\}) \neq gbsb^*cl(\{y\})$.

Sufficiency: Suppose that $x, y \in X$ with $x \neq y$ and $gbsb^*cl(\{x\}) \neq gbsb^*cl(\{y\})$. Then there exists a point $z \in X$ such that $z \in gbsb^*cl(\{x\})$ but $z \notin gbsb^*cl(\{y\})$. Now, we claim that $x \notin gbsb^*cl(\{y\})$. If $x \in gbsb^*cl(\{y\})$, then $gbsb^*cl(\{x\}) \subseteq gbsb^*cl(\{y\})$. This implies, $z \in gbsb^*cl(\{y\})$, which contradicts $z \notin gbsb^*cl(\{y\})$. Therefore $x \notin gbsb^*cl(\{y\})$. Since $gbsb^*cl(\{y\})$ is $gbsb^*$ -closed set containing y but not x , then $X \setminus gbsb^*cl(\{y\})$ is a $gbsb^*$ -open set containing x but not y . Hence X is a $gbsb^*-T_0$ space.

Theorem 3.3. A topological space (X, τ) is $gbsb^*-T_1$ if and only if the singletons are $gbsb^*$ -closed sets.

Proof: Let (X, τ) be a $gbsb^*-T_1$ space and x be any point of X . Let $y \in X \setminus \{x\}$. Then $x \neq y$ and so there exists a $gbsb^*$ -open set U_y containing y but not x . That is $y \in U_y \subseteq X \setminus \{x\}$. This implies, $X \setminus \{x\} = \bigcup \{U_y: y \in X \setminus \{x\}\}$. Since the union of $gbsb^*$ -open sets is $gbsb^*$ -open, then $X \setminus \{x\}$ is $gbsb^*$ -open containing y but not x . Hence $\{x\}$ is $gbsb^*$ -closed in X . Conversely, suppose $\{p\}$ is $gbsb^*$ -closed, for every $p \in X$. Let $x, y \in X$ with $x \neq y$. Then $y \in X \setminus \{x\}$ and $x \in X \setminus \{y\}$. Since $\{x\}$ and $\{y\}$ are $gbsb^*$ -closed sets in X , then $X \setminus \{x\}$ and $X \setminus \{y\}$ are $gbsb^*$ -open sets in X . Thus, we have a $gbsb^*$ -open set containing x but not y and a $gbsb^*$ -open set containing y but not x . Hence X is a $gbsb^*-T_1$ space.



INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF RESEARCH SCIENCE & MANAGEMENT

Theorem 3.4. A topological space (X, τ) is $\text{gbsb}^*\text{-}T_{1/2}$ if each singleton $\{x\}$ of X is either sb^* -closed or sb^* -open.

Proof: Let (X, τ) is a $\text{gbsb}^*\text{-}T_{1/2}$ space.

Case (i): Suppose $\{x\}$ is not sb^* -closed. Then $X \setminus \{x\}$ is not sb^* -open. By Theorem 2.9, $X \setminus \{x\}$ is gbsb^* -closed. Since X is a $\text{gbsb}^*\text{-}T_{1/2}$ space, then $X \setminus \{x\}$ is sb^* -closed and hence $\{x\}$ is sb^* -open.

Case (ii): Suppose $\{x\}$ is not sb^* -open. Then $X \setminus \{x\}$ is not sb^* -closed. By Theorem 2.9, $X \setminus \{x\}$ is gbsb^* -open in X . Since X is a $\text{gbsb}^*\text{-}T_{1/2}$ space, then $X \setminus \{x\}$ is sb^* -open and hence $\{x\}$ is sb^* -closed.

Theorem 3.5. The following statements are equivalent for a topological space X .

- (i) X is $\text{gbsb}^*\text{-}T_2$.
- (ii) For each $x \in X$ and $y \neq x$, there exists a gbsb^* -open set U containing x such that $y \notin \text{gbsb}^*\text{cl}(U)$.
- (iii) For each $x \in X$, $\bigcap \{ \text{gbsb}^*\text{cl}(U) / U \in \text{gbsb}^*\text{-}O(X, \tau) \text{ and } x \in U \} = \{x\}$.

Proof:

(i) \Rightarrow (ii): Suppose X is $\text{gbsb}^*\text{-}T_2$. Then for $x, y \in X$ with $x \neq y$. Then there exists disjoint gbsb^* -open sets U and V containing x and y respectively. Since V is gbsb^* -open, then $X \setminus V$ is gbsb^* -closed containing U . Hence $\text{gbsb}^*\text{cl}(U) \subseteq X \setminus V$. Since $y \in V$, then $y \notin X \setminus V$ and hence $y \notin \text{gbsb}^*\text{cl}(U)$.

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii): If there exists an element $y \neq x$ in X such that $y \in \bigcap \{ \text{gbsb}^*\text{cl}(U) / U \in \text{gbsb}^*\text{-}O(X) \text{ and } x \in U \}$, then $y \in \text{gbsb}^*\text{cl}(U)$ for every gbsb^* -open set U containing x . This contradicts our assumption. So there exists no such an element y . This proves (iii).

(iii) \Rightarrow (i): Let $x, y \in X$ with $x \neq y$. Then by our assumption, there exists a gbsb^* -open set U containing x such that $y \notin \text{gbsb}^*\text{cl}(U)$. Let $V = X \setminus \text{gbsb}^*\text{cl}(U)$. Then V is gbsb^* -open set containing y . Also $x \in U$ and $U \cap V = \emptyset$. Thus we have a disjoint gbsb^* -open sets U and V containing x and y respectively. Hence X is a $\text{gbsb}^*\text{-}T_2$ space.

Remark 3.6. Every $\text{gbsb}^*\text{-}T_2$ space is $\text{gbsb}^*\text{-}T_1$.

Theorem 3.7. Every gbsb^* -space is $\text{gbsb}^*\text{-}T_{1/2}$.

Proof: Let (X, τ) be a gbsb^* -space and A be any gbsb^* -closed set in X . Then $X \setminus A$ is gbsb^* -open in X . Since X is gbsb^* -space, then $X \setminus A$ is open in X and so A is closed. By Theorem 2.10, A is sb^* -closed. Since shows that X is $\text{gbsb}^*\text{-}T_{1/2}$.

Generalized b-strongly $\text{b}^*\text{-}D_k$ spaces

Definition 4.1. A subset A of a topological space X is called a gbsb^* -difference set (briefly $\text{gbsb}^*\text{-}D$ -set) if there exists $U, V \in \text{gbsb}^*\text{-}O(X)$ such that $U \neq X$ and $A = U \setminus V$.

Theorem 4.2. Every proper gbsb^* -open set is a $\text{gbsb}^*\text{-}D$ -set.

Proof: Let A be any proper gbsb^* -open subset of a topological space X . Take $U = A$ and $V = \emptyset$. Then $A = U \setminus V$ and $U \neq X$. Hence A is $\text{gbsb}^*\text{-}D$ -set.

Remark 4.3. The converse of the above theorem need not be true which is shown in the following example.

Example 4.4. Let $X = \{a, b, c, d\}$ with a topology $\tau = \{\emptyset, \{a, b\}, \{a, b, c\}, X\}$. Then $\text{gbsb}^*\text{-}O(X, \tau) = \{\emptyset, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, c\}, \{a, d\}, \{b, c\}, \{b, d\}, \{a, b, c\}, \{a, b, d\}, \{a, c, d\}, \{b, c, d\}, X\}$. Take $U = \{a, b, d\}$ and $V = \{a, b, c\}$. Then $U \neq X$ and $A = U \setminus V = \{a, b, d\} \setminus \{a, b, c\} = \{d\}$ is $\text{gbsb}^*\text{-}D$ -set but not a gbsb^* -open set.

Definition 4.5. A topological space (X, τ) is said to be

- (i) $\text{gbsb}^*\text{-}D_0$ if for any pair of distinct points x and y of X there exists a $\text{gbsb}^*\text{-}D$ -set of X containing x but not y or a $\text{gbsb}^*\text{-}D$ -set of X containing y but not x .



INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF RESEARCH SCIENCE & MANAGEMENT

- (ii) $gbsb^*-D_1$ if for any pair of distinct points x and y of X there exists a $gbsb^*-D$ -set of X containing x but not y and a $gbsb^*-D$ -set of X containing y but not x .
- (iii) $gbsb^*-D_2$ if for any pair of distinct points x and y of X , there exist disjoint $gbsb^*-D$ -sets G and E of X containing x and y respectively.

Theorem 4.6. In a topological space (X, τ) ,

- (i) if (X, τ) is $gbsb^*-T_k$, then it is $gbsb^*-D_k$, for $k = 0, 1, 2$.
- (ii) if (X, τ) is $gbsb^*-D_k$, then it is $gbsb^*-D_{k-1}$, for $k = 1, 2$.

Proof.

- (i) First we prove the result for $k=0$. Suppose (X, τ) is $gbsb^*-T_0$. Then for each pair of distinct points x, y in X , there exists a $gbsb^*$ -open set U such that either $x \in U$ and $y \notin U$ or $y \in U$ and $x \notin U$. By Theorem 4.2, U is $gbsb^*-D$ -set in X . Then we have for each pair of distinct points x, y in X , there exists a $gbsb^*-D$ -set U such that either $x \in U$ and $y \notin U$ or $y \in U$ and $x \notin U$. Hence (X, τ) is a $gbsb^*-D_0$ space. Similarly we can prove that every $gbsb^*-T_k$ space is $gbsb^*-D_k$ space, for $k=1, 2$.
- (ii) Let $k=2$. Suppose (X, τ) is a $gbsb^*-D_2$ space. Then for any pair of distinct points x and y of X , there exists disjoint $gbsb^*-D$ -sets U and V of X containing x and y respectively. That is for any pair of distinct points x and y of X , there exists a $gbsb^*-D$ -set U of X containing x but not y and a $gbsb^*-D$ -set V of X containing y but not x . Hence (X, τ) is a $gbsb^*-D_1$ space. Similarly we can prove that every $gbsb^*-D_1$ space is a $gbsb^*-D_0$ space.

Theorem 4.7. A space X is $gbsb^*-D_0$ if and only if it is $gbsb^*-T_0$.

Proof. Necessity: Suppose that X is $gbsb^*-D_0$. Then for each distinct pair $x, y \in X$ there is a $gbsb^*-D$ -set G containing x or y , say x but not y . Since G is $gbsb^*-D$ -set, then there are two $gbsb^*$ -open sets U_1 and U_2 such that $U_1 \neq X$ and $G = U_1 \setminus U_2$. Since $x \in G$ and $y \notin G$, then $x \in U_1$. For $y \notin G$, we have two cases,

- (a) $y \notin U_1$
- (b) $y \in U_1$ and $y \in U_2$.

In case (a), $x \in U_1$ and $y \notin U_1$. In case (b), $y \in U_2$ and $x \notin U_2$. Thus in both cases we have for each pair of distinct points x and y in X , there exists a $gbsb^*$ -open set U_1 containing x but not y or a $gbsb^*$ -open set U_2 containing y but not x . Hence X is $gbsb^*-T_0$.

Sufficiency: Suppose (X, τ) is $gbsb^*-T_0$. Then by Theorem 4.6(i), (X, τ) is $gbsb^*-D_0$.

Theorem 4.8. A space X is $gbsb^*-D_1$ if and only if it is $gbsb^*-D_2$.

Proof: Necessity: Let $x, y \in X$, with $x \neq y$. Then there exist $gbsb^*-D$ -sets G_1, G_2 in X such that $x \in G_1$, $y \notin G_1$ and $y \in G_2$, $x \notin G_2$. Since G_1 and G_2 are $gbsb^*-D$ -sets, then $G_1 = U_1 \setminus U_2$ and $G_2 = U_3 \setminus U_4$, where U_1, U_2, U_3 and U_4 are $gbsb^*$ -open sets in X . From $x \notin G_2$, it follows that either $x \notin U_3$ or $x \in U_3$ and $x \in U_4$. We discuss the two cases separately.

(i) Suppose $x \notin U_3$. For $y \notin G_1$ we have two sub-cases:

- (a) Suppose $y \notin U_1$. Since $x \in U_1 \setminus U_2$, it follows that $x \in U_1 \setminus (U_2 \cup U_3)$, and since $y \in U_3 \setminus U_4$ we have $y \in U_3 \setminus (U_1 \cup U_4)$. Since the union of $gbsb^*$ -open sets is $gbsb^*$ -open set, then $U_2 \cup U_3$ and $U_1 \cup U_4$ are $gbsb^*$ -open sets. Also $(U_1 \setminus (U_2 \cup U_3)) \cap (U_3 \setminus (U_1 \cup U_4)) = \emptyset$. Thus we have disjoint $gbsb^*-D$ -sets $U_1 \setminus (U_2 \cup U_3)$ and $U_3 \setminus (U_1 \cup U_4)$ containing x and y respectively.
- (b) If $y \in U_1$ and $y \in U_2$, we have $x \in U_1 \setminus U_2$, and $y \in U_2$. Also $(U_1 \setminus U_2) \cap U_2 = \emptyset$. Thus we have disjoint $gbsb^*-D$ -sets $U_1 \setminus U_2$ and U_2 containing x and y respectively.

(ii) Suppose $x \in U_3$ and $x \in U_4$. We have $y \in U_3 \setminus U_4$ and $x \in U_4$. Hence $(U_3 \setminus U_4) \cap U_4 = \emptyset$. Thus we have disjoint $gbsb^*-D$ -sets U_4 and $U_3 \setminus U_4$ containing x and y respectively. Hence X is $gbsb^*-D_2$.



INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF RESEARCH SCIENCE & MANAGEMENT

Sufficiency: Suppose X is $gbsb^*-D_2$. Then by Theorem 4.6(ii), X is $gbsb^*-D_1$.

Definition 4.9. A point $x \in X$ which has only X as the $gbsb^*$ -neighbourhood is called a $gbsb^*$ -neat point.

Theorem 4.10. For a $gbsb^*-T_0$ space (X, τ) the following are equivalent:

- (i) (X, τ) is $gbsb^*-D_1$.
- (ii) (X, τ) has no $gbsb^*$ -neat point.

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii). Since (X, τ) is $gbsb^*-D_1$, then each point x of X is contained in a $gbsb^*-D$ -set $A = U \setminus V$ and thus in U . By definition $U \neq X$. This implies that x is not a $gbsb^*$ -neat point.

(ii) \Rightarrow (i) Suppose (X, τ) has no $gbsb^*$ -neat point. Let x and y be distinct points in X . Since X is $gbsb^*-T_0$, then there exists a $gbsb^*$ -open set U containing x or y , say x . Since $y \notin U$, then $U \neq X$. By Theorem 4.2, U is a $gbsb^*-D$ -set. Since X has no $gbsb^*$ -neat point, then y is not a $gbsb^*$ -neat point. This means that there exists a $gbsb^*$ -neighbourhood V of y such that $V \neq X$. Since V is a $gbsb^*$ -nbhd of y , there exists a $gbsb^*$ -open set G such that $y \in G \subseteq V$. Thus $y \in G \setminus U$ but not x . Also $G \setminus U$ is a $gbsb^*-D$ -set. Hence X is a $gbsb^*-D_1$ space.

Corollary 4.11. A $gbsb^*-T_0$ space X is not $gbsb^*-D_1$ if and only if there is a unique $gbsb^*$ -neat point in X .

Proof: Suppose (X, τ) be a $gbsb^*-T_0$ space. But (X, τ) is not a $gbsb^*-D_1$. Then by the above theorem (X, τ) has a $gbsb^*$ -neat point. Now we have to prove the uniqueness. Suppose x and y are two different $gbsb^*$ -neat points in X . Since X is $gbsb^*-T_0$, at least one of x and y , say x , has a $gbsb^*$ -open set U containing x but not y . then U is a $gbsb^*$ -nbhd of x and $U \neq X$. Therefore x is not a $gbsb^*$ -neat point which contradicts x is a $gbsb^*$ -neat point. Hence $x=y$.

References

- [1] D.Andrijevic, On b-open sets, Mat.Vesnik 48(1996), 59-64.
- [2] M. Caldas and S. Jafari, On some applications of b-open sets in topological spaces, Kochi J. Math., 2(2007), 11-19.
- [3] A. Keskin and T. Noiri, On bD-sets and associated separation axioms, Bulletin of the Iranian Math. Soc., 35(1) (2009), 179-198.
- [4] I. L. Reilly and M. K. Vamanamurthy, On α -sets in topological spaces, Tamkang J. Math. 16 (1985), 7-11.
- [5] A.S. Mashhour, M.E. Abd El-Monsef and S.N. El-Deeb, On precontinuous and weak precontinuous mappings, Proc. Math. Phys. So., Egypt, 53(1982), 47-53.
- [6] A.Poongothai and P.Parimelazhagan, sb^* -closed sets in a topological spaces, Int. Journal of Math. Analysis 3(47),(2012), 2325-2333.
- [7] P. Selvan and M.J.Jeyanthi, Generalized b-strongly b^* -closed sets in topological spaces, Int. Journal of Math. Archive-8(5),(2017), .
- [8] P. Selvan and M.J.Jeyanthi, More on $gbsb^*$ -closed and sets in topological spaces, Scholars Journal of Physics, Mathematics and Statistics,4(13), 2017,113-120